La Jolla Coastal Access & Parking Board AGENDA March 25, 2021 | 4:00 PM - (Via Zoom as allowed by COVID 19 Modifications)

| 2020-2021 Board | Agenda Items | - 17 Meanicanons, |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Members | Agenua items | |
| CPA Appointments | 1) Call to Order. Zoom Protocol | Brett Murphy |
| Ray Weiss | 2) Financial Update – No change. FYI Only | Brett Murphy, Chair, Information |
| Tom Brady | a) Funds in Bank – \$17,827.21 | Brete Marphy, Chan, Information |
| Dave Abrams | b) Funds in Account with City | |
| Dave Abrains | i) Shuttle "Bucket" - \$278,447 | |
| Town Council | ii) Non-shuttle - \$121,724 | |
| | 11) Noti-stiuttie - \$121,724 | |
| Appointments Ann Kerr-Bache | 3) Smart Parking Update | ladi Budisk Evacutiva Director |
| | | Jodi Rudick, Executive Director |
| Nancy Warwick | a) Project approve by LJCPA at March 4 Meeting | |
| Tony Harris | after unanimous approval by LJT&T and | |
| L IV (0.4.0. A second sector) | concept approval by LJPDO. | Andre Fatarla Chain Maine La |
| LJVMA Appointments | b) Signage and Design Update | Andy Fotsch, Chair, Vision La |
| Robert Mackey (Owner La | 4) Smart Parking Next Steps | Jolla; Architect |
| Jolla Golf Carts) | a) Get commitment from Parking Garage | Action – Approve Signage Design |
| Gabriela Guevara (Owner, | Operators to offer promotional pricing as | |
| Blue Apparel) | requested by Coastal Commission. | |
| Brett Murphy (Owner, La | b) Update parking page on LJVMA website to | |
| Jolla Sports Club) | include a map and links to all parking options | |
| | when possible. | |
| <u>Staff</u> | c) Bring smart parking sign design to PDO for | |
| Jodi Rudick, Executive | approval at April meeting | |
| Director, La Jolla Village | d) Work with Vision La Jolla to determine | |
| Merchants Association | placement of static directories and | |
| | wayfinding signs. | |
| | e) Draft budget to start the process of accessing | |
| | funds. | |
| | 5) Public Comment (Limited to 3 minutes, no action | |
| | can be taken) | |
| | 6) Next Meeting – April 27, 4:00 PM via Zoom | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Navanhu Astion |
| | | Murphy, Action |
| | | |

| | Rudick, Action |
|--|----------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Attachments and Links:

Link to By Laws http://www.lajollacpa.org/CAP/LJCAPBoardBylawsAmended&Restated(2011-09-06).pdf

Link to MOU: http://lajollabythesea.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/MOU.pdf

<u>Link</u> to Wayfinding and Parking Communication Plan - https://www.slideshare.net/lajollavillagemerchants/parking-and-wayfinding-proposal

<u>Link</u> to RFP for Real-Time Occupancy and Wayfinding Solution (Submission Deadline: October 20, 2021) http://lajollabythesea.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Wayfinding-RFP-Draft-9.28.2020-be-jr.pdf

Language From MOU - How Funds Can be Spent --

Whereas the permit conditions creating the La Jolla Parking and Transportation Fund provide that at least

- 50% of the funds shall be reserved for the implementation of a remote parking reservoir and shuttle system. (CCC suggests a connector shuttle between the new Trolley stations and La Jolla Village. Funds are on hold until Trolley Expansion is closer to completion.)
- 50% of the funds may be used for other short term and/or long term parking and traffic circulation related programs of improvements, and further provide that, should the City of San Diego establish its own program for implementing the recommendations of the La Jolla Parking and Transportation Plan, and that program is approved by the Commission as a revision to the City's Local Coastal Plan (LCP) implementing ordinances, the funds may instead be used in accordance with the City's approved program.

Response from Coastal Commission – November 11, 2020

Hi Jodi,

To follow up on our phone call just now, I presented the most recent information you sent me regarding the electronic signage plan and parking space tracking system to staff this past Tuesday. Staff's response was fairly positive, and we believe that you all may on to something good with regards to reducing traffic in the village and improving public access. However, staff does have the following comments that we will want to see be addressed in any final proposal that you prepare. Specifically:

1) Staff understands the logic of placing the large digital sign listing all the garages at the intersection of Torrey Pines Road and Prospect St, given that is the main entrance most visitors take into the Village. However, given the prominent location of the intersection, staff thinks it is likely that there my be opposition to that siting. This does not mean the opposition would be correct or that it would bar the placement of the sign there, but to play it safe, you should identify one or two other "back-up" locations further into the Village in case the intersection location does not pan out (such as where Coast Boulevard splits off from Prospect).

- 2) Because this project is driven in part by what you identify as a lack of awareness by the general public of all the garage resources within the Village area, and because the main benefit proposed by this plan is to get visitors off the street sooner and thereby reduce traffic, staff will want any final parking program and its related printed and digital material (such as websites and apps) to list all the garages in the Village area for the public to see, not just the four garages participating in the trial. Obviously, parking space availability would only be listed for the four garages with the sensors installed, but the app and website should still list basic information about all the garages (e.g. location, number of total spaces in the garage, rates if possible) so that visitors can quickly see where they can park.
- 3) This trial will be a significant expenditure of publicly-held monies that, while anticipated to provide a public access benefit, will directly benefit private properties and businesses, as the cost of the signage and related software is being borne by the MOU funds. In recognition of that private benefit and the anticipated greater use of the garages by the public due to the signage, staff will want to see some sort of concession regarding parking rates, either through lower hourly rates, an initial free parking period before fees kick in, or some combination of the two. A model that works in a similarly popular coastal destination is in Santa Monica, where a system of garages

 (https://www.smgov.net/uploadedFiles/Departments/PCD/Transportation/Motorists-Parking/City-Parking-Map-Web.pdf) serves the high visitor volumes while offering fairly low rates and an initial free parking period (https://www.smgov.net/uploadedFiles/Departments/PCD/Transportation/Motorists-Parking/2019%20Parking%20Rate%20Table.pdf). Staff is not saying that the Santa Monica model must be mirrored directly regarding rates or free parking duration (though that would be nice), but we will be looking for something like this in the final project seeking acceptance from us under the MOU, and if it is not present, we are going to inquire as to why.

That above summarizes staff's comments at this time. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the above, and please keep me updated as you progress in selecting a vendor and finalizing the duration and details of the program so that any potential questions or concerns staff may have can be identified before contracts are signed and you seek final authorization under the MOU.

Thanks.

-Alex Llerandi