La Jolla Coastal Access & Parking Board Minutes March 25, 2021 | 4:00 PM - (Via Zoom as allowed by COVID 19 Modifications)

2020-2021 Board	Agenda Items		
<u>Members</u>			
CPA Appointments	1) Call	to Order. Zoom Protocol. Meeting called to	Brett Murphy
Ray Weiss -present	ord	er at 4:08pm	Brett Murphy, Chair, Information
Tom Brady- present	-	ruary minutes. Motion Brett Murphy, second	
Dave Abrams- present		n Brady. Abstained by Gabriela Guevara.	
	•	ancial Update – No change. FYI Only	Jodi Rudick, Executive Director
Town Council	=	Funds in Bank – \$17,827.21	
<u>Appointments</u>	b)	Funds in Account with City	
Ann Kerr-Bache- absent		i) Shuttle "Bucket" - \$278,447	
Nancy Warwick - present		ii) Non-shuttle - \$121,724	
Tony Harris-absent			
		art Parking Update	Andy Fotsch, Chair, Vision La
LJVMA Appointments	a)	Project approve by LJCPA at March 4 Meeting	Jolla; Architect
Robert Mackey (Owner La		after unanimous approval by LJT&T and	Action – Approve Signage Design
Jolla Golf Carts)- absent		concept approval by LJPDO.	
Gabriela Guevara (Owner,	•	Signage and Design Update	Motion to approve sign design
Blue Apparel)- present		art Parking Next Steps	concept today (March 25) that
Brett Murphy (Owner, La	a)	Get commitment from Parking Garage	Andy Fotsch presented and let
Jolla Sports Club)- present		Operators to offer promotional pricing as	them choose what is best.
		requested by Coastal Commission.	
<u>Staff</u>	b)	Update parking page on LJVMA website to	Motion by Dave Abrams
Jodi Rudick, Executive		include a map and links to all parking options	Second Brett Murphy
Director, La Jolla Village		when possible.	Vote unanimous.
Merchants Association	c)	Bring smart parking sign design to PDO for	
		approval at April meeting	
	d)	Work with Vision La Jolla to determine	
		placement of static directories and	
		wayfinding signs.	
	e)	Draft budget to start the process of accessing	
		funds.	
		olic Comment (Limited to 3 minutes, no action	
		be taken)	
	7) Nex	kt Meeting – April 27, 4:00 PM via Zoom	

Attachments and Links:

Link to By Laws http://www.lajollacpa.org/CAP/LJCAPBoardBylawsAmended&Restated(2011-09-06).pdf

Link to MOU: http://lajollabythesea.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/MOU.pdf

<u>Link</u> to Wayfinding and Parking Communication Plan - https://www.slideshare.net/lajollavillagemerchants/parking-and-wayfinding-proposal

<u>Link</u> to RFP for Real-Time Occupancy and Wayfinding Solution (Submission Deadline: October 20, 2021) http://lajollabythesea.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Wayfinding-RFP-Draft-9.28.2020-be-jr.pdf

Language From MOU - How Funds Can be Spent --

- 50% of the funds shall be reserved for the implementation of a remote parking reservoir and shuttle system. (CCC suggests a connector shuttle between the new Trolley stations and La Jolla Village. Funds are on hold until Trolley Expansion is closer to completion.)
- 50% of the funds may be used for other short term and/or long term parking and traffic circulation related programs of improvements, and further provide that, should the City of San Diego establish its own program for implementing the recommendations of the La Jolla Parking and Transportation Plan, and that program is approved by the Commission as a revision to the City's Local Coastal Plan (LCP) implementing ordinances, the funds may instead be used in accordance with the City's approved program.

Response from Coastal Commission – November 11, 2020

Hi Jodi,

To follow up on our phone call just now, I presented the most recent information you sent me regarding the electronic signage plan and parking space tracking system to staff this past Tuesday. Staff's response was fairly positive, and we believe that you all may on to something good with regards to reducing traffic in the village and improving public access. However, staff does have the following comments that we will want to see be addressed in any final proposal that you prepare. Specifically:

- 1) Staff understands the logic of placing the large digital sign listing all the garages at the intersection of Torrey Pines Road and Prospect St, given that is the main entrance most visitors take into the Village. However, given the prominent location of the intersection, staff thinks it is likely that there my be opposition to that siting. This does not mean the opposition would be correct or that it would bar the placement of the sign there, but to play it safe, you should identify one or two other "back-up" locations further into the Village in case the intersection location does not pan out (such as where Coast Boulevard splits off from Prospect).
- 2) Because this project is driven in part by what you identify as a lack of awareness by the general public of all the garage resources within the Village area, and because the main benefit proposed by this plan is to get visitors off the street sooner and thereby reduce traffic, staff will want any final parking program and its related printed and digital material (such as websites and apps) to list all the garages in the Village area for the public to see, not just the four garages participating in the trial. Obviously, parking space availability would only be listed for the four garages with the sensors installed, but the app and website should still list basic information about all the garages (e.g. location, number of total spaces in the garage, rates if possible) so that visitors can quickly see where they can park.
- 3) This trial will be a significant expenditure of publicly-held monies that, while anticipated to provide a public access benefit, will directly benefit private properties and businesses, as the cost of the signage and related software is being borne by the MOU funds. In recognition of that private benefit and the anticipated greater use of the garages by the public due to the signage, staff will want to see some sort of concession regarding parking rates, either through lower hourly rates, an initial free parking period before fees kick in, or some combination of the two. A model that works in a similarly popular coastal destination is in Santa Monica, where a system of garages

 (https://www.smgov.net/uploadedFiles/Departments/PCD/Transportation/Motorists-Parking/City-Parking-Map-Web.pdf) serves the high visitor volumes while offering fairly low rates and an initial free parking period (https://www.smgov.net/uploadedFiles/Departments/PCD/Transportation/Motorists-Parking/2019%20Parking%20Rate%20Table.pdf). Staff is not saying that the Santa Monica model must be mirrored directly regarding rates or free parking duration (though that would be nice), but we will be looking for something like this in the final project seeking acceptance from us under the MOU, and if it is not present, we are going to inquire as to why.

That above summarizes staff's comments at this time. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the
above, and please keep me updated as you progress in selecting a vendor and finalizing the duration and details of
the program so that any potential questions or concerns staff may have can be identified before contracts are signed
and you seek final authorization under the MOU.

Thanks.

-Alex Llerandi