La Jolla Coastal Access & Parking Board Minutes Submitted by Gabriela Guevara

August 26, 2021 4:00 PM – (Via Zoom as allowed by COVID 19 Modifications)			
2020-2021 Board	Agenda Items		
<u>Members</u>			
CPA Appointments	1) Call to Order. Zoom Protocol.		
Ray Weiss	Dave Abrams called meeting to order 4:07 pm		
Tom Brady	2) Approval of March minutes.	Action	
Dave Abrams	3) LICAP Board Business	Motion to approve	
	It was announced that Brett Murphy resigned from the	minutes: Ann Kerr. Second:	
<u>Town Council</u>	board leaving the Chair position vacant. Murphy was	Tom Brady. Abstained: Bill	
<u>Appointments</u>	not present at the meeting, but Jodi Rudick read his	Podway. Vote	
Ann Kerr Bache	letter which was received on August 24, 2021:	unanimously.	
Nancy Warwick			
Andy Fotsch	Dear La Jolla Coast Access & Parking Board Members and La Jolla Community,		
LJVMA Appointments			
Gabriela Guevara	I wanted to take this time to thank you all for giving me		
(Owner, Blue Apparel)-	an opportunity to improve our beautiful community. My		
present	point for joining the board as chair was to find a project		
Brett Murphy	to use the funding allocated and execute it. We were		
Bill Podway, Volunteer	unable to get this done in a timely manner and I must		
	move on. Thank you all for allowing me to serve and I		
<u>Pro bono Staff</u>	hope everyone continues to work hard to improve La		
Jodi Rudick, Executive	Jolla.		
Director, La Jolla Village			
Merchants Association	Sincerely, Brett Murphy		
	There was various discussion about the function of the	Action	
	LJCAP board and possible redundancies with other groups	Motion to defer agenda	
	including Traffic and Transportation, a subcommittee of	items to next meeting on	
	LICAP. Rudick announced that she can no longer take on	September 30th: Ann Kerr.	
	administrative duties since the group seems to be inert.	Second Tom Brady. Vote unanimously.	
	Dave Abrams, LJCAP Treasurer acted at Chair for the	unaniniousiy.	
	meeting.		
	4) Financial Update – No change. FYI Only	Information	
	a) Funds in Bank – \$17,827.21		
	b) Funds in Account with City		
	i) Shuttle "Bucket" - \$278,447 ii) Non-shuttle - \$121,724		
	5) Parking Forum - September 29 was discussed briefly.		
	Nancy Warwick expressed concern that the Parking Forum		
	was a pro-parking meter forum. It was explained that the		
	Parking Forum had a diverse agenda that included many		
	aspects of parking from discount programs to the City's		
	new Spaces as Places Initiative.		
	6) Smart Parking ProjectCoastal Commission Input		
	a) Requires one-hour free parking in Pilot Project		
	Garages. Parking garage owners have not agreed to		

b)	this as business is very robust. The requirement for fair and equal marketing of all off street parking in La Jolla has been accomplished by way of Park La Jolla.com ParkLaJolla.com has been advertised in Tourism	
c)	ParkLaJolla.com has been advertised in Tourism Publications	
7) Me	eeting adjourned by Dave Abrams	

Attachments and Links:

Link to By Laws http://www.lajollacpa.org/CAP/LJCAPBoardBylawsAmended&Restated(2011-09-06).pdf

Link to MOU: http://lajollabythesea.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/MOU.pdf

Link to Wayfinding and Parking Communication Plan - https://www.slideshare.net/lajollavillagemerchants/parking-and-wayfinding-proposal

Link to RFP for Real-Time Occupancy and Wayfinding Solution (Submission Deadline: October 20, 2021) <u>http://lajollabythesea.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Wayfinding-RFP-Draft-9.28.2020-be-jr.pdf</u>

Language From MOU – How Funds Can be Spent --

Whereas the permit conditions creating the La Jolla Parking and Transportation Fund provide that at least

- 50% of the funds shall be reserved for the implementation of a remote parking reservoir and shuttle system. (CCC suggests a connector shuttle between the new Trolley stations and La Jolla Village. Funds are on hold until Trolley Expansion is closer to completion.)
- 50% of the funds may be used for other short term and/or long term parking and traffic circulation related programs of improvements, and further provide that, should the City of San Diego establish its own program for implementing the recommendations of the La Jolla Parking and Transportation Plan, and that program is approved by the Commission as a revision to the City's Local Coastal Plan (LCP) implementing ordinances, the funds may instead be used in accordance with the City's approved program.

Hi Jodi,

To follow up on our phone call just now, I presented the most recent information you sent me regarding the electronic signage plan and parking space tracking system to staff this past Tuesday. Staff's response was fairly positive, and we believe that you all may on to something good with regards to reducing traffic in the village and improving public access. However, staff does have the following comments that we will want to see be addressed in any final proposal that you prepare. Specifically:

- 1) Staff understands the logic of placing the large digital sign listing all the garages at the intersection of Torrey Pines Road and Prospect St, given that is the main entrance most visitors take into the Village. However, given the prominent location of the intersection, staff thinks it is likely that there my be opposition to that siting. This does not mean the opposition would be correct or that it would bar the placement of the sign there, but to play it safe, you should identify one or two other "back-up" locations further into the Village in case the intersection location does not pan out (such as where Coast Boulevard splits off from Prospect).
- 2) Because this project is driven in part by what you identify as a lack of awareness by the general public of all the garage resources within the Village area, and because the main benefit proposed by this plan is to get visitors off the street sooner and thereby reduce traffic, staff will want any final parking program and its related printed and digital material (such as websites and apps) to list all the garages in the Village area for the public to see, not just the four garages participating in the trial. Obviously, parking space availability would only be listed for the four garages with the sensors installed, but the app and website should still list basic information about all the garages (e.g. location, number of total spaces in the garage, rates if possible) so that visitors can quickly see where they can park.
- 3) This trial will be a significant expenditure of publicly-held monies that, while anticipated to provide a public access benefit, will directly benefit private properties and businesses, as the cost of the signage and related software is being borne by the MOU funds. In recognition of that private benefit and the anticipated greater use of the garages by the public due to the signage, staff will want to see some sort of concession regarding parking rates, either through lower hourly rates, an initial free parking period before fees kick in, or some combination of the two. A model that works in a similarly popular coastal destination is in Santa Monica, where a system of garages (https://www.smgov.net/uploadedFiles/Departments/PCD/Transportation/Motorists-Parking/City-Parking-Map-Web.pdf) serves the high visitor volumes while offering fairly low rates and an initial free parking period (https://www.smgov.net/uploadedFiles/Departments/PCD/Transportation/Motorists-Parking/City-Parking period (https://www.smgov.net/uploadedFiles/Departments/PCD/Transportation/Motorists-Parking/City-Parking period (https://www.smgov.net/uploadedFiles/Departments/PCD/Transportation/Motorists-Parking for something %20Rate%20Table.pdf). Staff is not saying that the Santa Monica model must be mirrored directly regarding rates or free parking duration (though that would be nice), but we will be looking for something like this in the final project seeking acceptance from us under the MOU, and if it is not

present, we are going to inquire as to why.

That above summarizes staff's comments at this time. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the above, and please keep me updated as you progress in selecting a vendor and finalizing the duration and details of the program so that any potential questions or concerns staff may have can be identified before contracts are signed and you seek final authorization under the MOU.

Thanks.

-Alex Llerandi